

Designing Observable Retrieval-Augmented Systems

Research note - portfolio demo document.

A placeholder paper about making RAG systems diagnosable by default.

| | | |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|
| Demo-safe | Research note | Replaceable asset |
|-----------|---------------|-------------------|

ABSTRACT

Abstract

RAG systems fail in ways that are hard to explain if retrieval, ranking, prompting, and evaluation are not legible. Observability should describe not only whether a request passed, but why it behaved the way it did.

OBSERVATION LAYERS

Observation layers

Useful observability crosses product and model boundaries.

- Request traces with stage timing.
- Retrieved document snapshots and ranking features.
- Prompt templates and revision history.
- Outcome scoring tied to user feedback and offline evals.

RECOMMENDED DASHBOARD FIELDS

Recommended dashboard fields

| Field | Reason |
|------------------------------------|--|
| query + intent | Tells reviewers what the system thought the user wanted. |
| retrieved context IDs | Makes context inspection possible. |
| answer confidence or quality flags | Supports triage and escalation. |
| human override notes | Captures operator learning for later improvement. |

PRACTICAL TAKEAWAY**Practical takeaway**

The system should produce enough evidence that a reviewer can answer: what did the model see, what did it choose, and what should change next?